

KAUST

CS 247 – Scientific Visualization Lecture 21: Vector / Flow Visualization, Pt. 3

Markus Hadwiger, KAUST

Reading Assignment #12 (until Apr 19)

Read (required):

- Data Visualization book
 - Chapter 6.1
- Diffeomorphisms (smooth deformations)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diffeomorphism

• Integral curves; stream/path/streak lines

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Integral_curve

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Streamlines,_streaklines,_and_pathlines

 Paper: Bruno Jobard and Wilfrid Lefer Creating Evenly-Spaced Streamlines of Arbitrary Density,

http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.29.9498

Quiz #3: Apr 19



Organization

- First 30 min of lecture
- No material (book, notes, ...) allowed

Content of questions

- Lectures (both actual lectures and slides)
- Reading assignments (except optional ones)
- Programming assignments (algorithms, methods)
- Solve short practical examples

Programming Assignment #5: Flow Vis 1







Programming Assignment #5: Flow Vis 1







Programming Assignment #5: Flow Vis 1



Markus Hadwiger, KAUST

Integral Curves / Stream Objects



Integrating velocity over time yields spatial motion







Courtesy Jens Krüger





Courtesy Jens Krüger





Courtesy Jens Krüger





Courtesy Jens Krüger

Integral Curves





Streamline

• Curve parallel to the vector field in each point for a fixed time

Pathline

• Describes motion of a massless particle over time

Streakline

• Location of all particles released at a *fixed position* over time

Timeline

• Location of all particles released along a line at a *fixed time*

Streamlines Over Time



Defined only for steady flow or for a fixed time step (of unsteady flow)

Different tangent curves in every time step for time-dependent vector fields (unsteady flow)



Stream Lines vs. Path Lines Viewed Over Time



Plotted with time as third dimension

• Tangent curves to a (n + 1)-dimensional vector field



Stream Lines

Path Lines

Markus Hadwiger, KAUST

Vector fields

A static vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ is a vector-valued function of space. A time-dependent vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)$ depends also on time. In the case of velocity fields, the terms steady and unsteady flow are used.

The dimensions of **x** and **v** are equal, often 2 or 3, and we denote components by *x*,*y*,*z* and *u*,*v*,*w*:

$$\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z), \ \mathbf{v} = (u, v, w)$$

Sometimes a vector field is defined on a surface $\mathbf{x}(i, j)$. The vector field is then a function of parameters and time:

 $\mathbf{v}(i, j, t)$

Ronald Peikert

SciVis 2009 - Vector Fields

Vector fields as ODEs

For simplicity, the vector field is now interpreted as a velocity field. Then the field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ describes the connection between location and velocity of a (massless) particle.

It can equivalently be expressed as an ordinary differential equation

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{v}\big(\mathbf{x}(t), t\big)$

This ODE, together with an initial condition

$$\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$
,

is a so-called initial value problem (IVP).

Its solution is the integral curve (or trajectory)

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau) d\tau$$

Ronald Peikert

SciVis 2009 - Vector Fields

Vector fields as ODEs

The integral curve is a pathline, describing the path of a massless particle which was released at time t_o at position x_o .

Remark: $t < t_0$ is allowed.

For static fields, the ODE is autonomous:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{v}\big(\mathbf{x}(t)\big)$$

and its integral curves

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(\tau)) d\tau$$

are called field lines, or (in the case of velocity fields) streamlines.

Ronald Peikert

SciVis 2009 - Vector Fields

Vector fields as ODEs

In static vector fields, pathlines and streamlines are identical.

In time-dependent vector fields, instantaneous streamlines can be computed from a "snapshot" at a fixed time *T* (which is a static vector field)

$$\mathbf{v}_{T}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},T)$$

In practice, time-dependent fields are often given as a dataset per time step. Each dataset is then a snapshot.

Streamline integration

Outline of algorithm for numerical streamline integration (with obvious extension to pathlines):

Inputs:

- static vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$
- seed points with time of release (\mathbf{x}_0, t_0)
- control parameters:
 - step size (temporal, spatial, or in local coordinates)
 - step count limit, time limit, etc.
 - order of integration scheme

Output:

 streamlines as "polylines", with possible attributes (interpolated field values, time, speed, arc length, etc.) Preprocessing:

- set up search structure for point location
- for each seed point:
 - global point location: Given a point **x**,
 - find the cell containing **x** and the local coordinates (ξ, η, ζ) or ir the grid is structured:
 - find the computational space coordinates $(i + \xi, j + \eta, k + \zeta)$
 - If **x** is not found in a cell, remove seed point

Integration loop, for each seed point **x**:

- interpolate **v** trilinearly to local coordinates (ξ, η, ζ)
- do an integration step, producing a new point x'
- incremental point location: For position x' find cell and local coordinates (ξ', η', ζ') making use of information (coordinates, local coordinates, cell) of old point x

Termination criteria:

- grid boundary reached
- step count limit reached
- optional: velocity close to zero
- optional: time limit reached
- optional: arc length limit reached

Streamline integration

Integration step: widely used integration methods:

• Euler (used only in special speed-optimized techniques, e.g. GPU-based texture advection)

$$\mathbf{x}_{new} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v} \left(\mathbf{x}, t
ight) \cdot \Delta t$$

• Runge-Kutta, 2nd or 4th order

Higher order than 4th?

- often too slow for visualization
- study (Yeung/Pope 1987) shows that, when using standard trilinear interpolation, interpolation errors dominate integration errors.

- Numerical integration of stream lines:
- approximate streamline by polygon x_i
- Testing example:
 - $\mathbf{v}(x,y) = (-y, x/2)^{\Lambda}T$
 - exact solution: ellipses
 - starting integration from (0,-1)



Scientific Visualization, Tino Weinkauf & Jens Krüger, Saarland University, Winter 2011/12

Streamlines – Practice



Basic approach:

- theory: $\mathbf{s}(t) = \mathbf{s}_0 + \int_{0 \le u \le t} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}(u)) du$
- practice: numerical integration
- idea:

(very) locally, the solution is (approx.) linear

- Euler integration: follow the current flow vector v(s_i) from the current streamline point s_i for a very small time (dt) and therefore distance
- Euler integration: s_{i+1} = s_i + dt · v(s_i), integration of small steps (dt very small)



2D model data:

$$v_x = dx/dt = -y$$

 $v_y = dy/dt = x/2$

Sample arrows:



.



• Seed point $\mathbf{s}_0 = (0|-1)^T$; current flow vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_0) = (1|0)^T$; dt = 1/2



Helwig Hauser

×.



• New point $\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{s}_0 + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_0) \cdot dt = (1/2|-1)^T$; current flow vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_1) = (1|1/4)^T$;



¥.



• New point $\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_1) \cdot dt = (1|-7/8)^T$; current flow vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_2) = (7/8|1/2)^T$;



¥.



s₃ = $(23/16|-5/8)^{T} \approx (1.44|-0.63)^{T};$ **v**(**s**₃) = $(5/8|23/32)^{T} \approx (0.63|0.72)^{T};$



K



s₄ = $(7/4|-17/64)^{T} \approx (1.75|-0.27)^{T};$ **v**(**s**₄) = $(17/64|7/8)^{T} \approx (0.27|0.88)^{T};$



K







.







.



■ $s_{19} \approx (0.75 | -3.02)^T$; $v(s_{19}) \approx (3.02 | 0.37)^T$; clearly: large integration error, dt too large! 19 steps



Helwig Hauser



- dt smaller (1/4): more steps, more exact! $\mathbf{s}_{36} \approx (0.04 | -1.74)^{\mathsf{T}}; \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_{36}) \approx (1.74 | 0.02)^{\mathsf{T}};$
- 36 steps



¥.

Comparison Euler, Step Sizes



Euler is getting better proportionally to d*t*



.

Better than Euler Integr.: RK



Runge-Kutta Approach:

- theory: $\mathbf{s}(t) = \mathbf{s}_0 + \int_{0 \le u \le t} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}(u)) \, \mathrm{d}u$
- Euler: $\mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_0 + \sum_{0 \le u \le i} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_u) \cdot dt$
- Runge-Kutta integration:
 - idea: cut short the curve arc
 - RK-2 (second order RK):
 - 1.: do half a Euler step
 - 2.: evaluate flow vector there
 - 3.: use it in the origin
 - RK-2 (two evaluations of v per step): $\mathbf{s}_{i+1} = \mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_i) \cdot dt/2) \cdot dt$

RK-2 Integration – One Step



• Seed point $\mathbf{s}_0 = (0|-2)^T$; current flow vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_0) = (2|0)^T$; preview vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_0+\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_0)\cdot dt/2) = (2|0.5)^T$; dt = 1



Helwig Hauser

RK-2 – One more step



• Seed point $\mathbf{s}_1 = (2|-1.5)^T$; current flow vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_1) = (1.5|1)^T$; preview vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_1+\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_1)\cdot dt/2) \approx (1|1.4)^T$; dt = 1



Helwig Hauser

RK-2 – A Quick Round



RK-2: even with dt=1 (9 steps) better than Euler with dt=1/8(72 steps)



κ.

RK-4 vs. Euler, RK-2



Even better: fourth order RK:

- four vectors a, b, c, d
- one step is a convex combination: $s_{i+1} = s_i + (a + 2 \cdot b + 2 \cdot c + d)/6$
- vectors:

$$\bullet \mathbf{a} = \mathrm{d}t \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_i)$$

- **b** = dt·v($\mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{a}/2$)
- $\mathbf{c} = dt \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{b}/2) \qquad \dots \text{ use } \mathsf{RK-2} \dots$
- $\bullet \mathbf{d} = \mathrm{d}t \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{c}) \qquad \dots \text{ and again!}$

- ... original vector
- ... RK-2 vector

Euler vs. Runge-Kutta



RK-4: pays off only with complex flows



Integration, Conclusions



Summary:

- analytic determination of streamlines usually not possible
- hence: numerical integration
- several methods available (Euler, Runge-Kutta, etc.)
- Euler: simple, imprecise, esp. with small dt
- RK: more accurate in higher orders
- furthermore: adaptive methods, implicit methods, etc.

Thank you.

Thanks for material

- Helwig Hauser
- Eduard Gröller
- Daniel Weiskopf
- Torsten Möller
- Ronny Peikert
- Philipp Muigg
- Christof Rezk-Salama